

## VEGETATION CHARACTERISTICS, GRAZING DISTURBANCE AND SOIL EROSION\*

Suzanne I. Gill<sup>1</sup>, M. Anne Naeth<sup>1</sup>, David S. Chanasyk<sup>1</sup> and Vern S. Baron<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Graduate Student, Associate Professor and Professor, Department of Renewable Resources, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, T6G 2H1

<sup>2</sup>Research Scientist, Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, Lacombe Research Center, Lacombe, Alberta

### BACKGROUND

Annual domestic forages may provide temporary ground cover and pasture in reclamation situations where perennial domestic forages are not desired, cannot be established, or where a rapid ground cover is needed. Potential soil erosion is a concern in annual compared to perennial forages because of the requirement for yearly establishment. The row seeding of annual pasture may exacerbate rill erosion and the lack of litter buildup may leave the soil exposed to raindrop impact and surface flow (Tomanek, 1969; Wilcox, 1994). In comparison, perennial domestic pastures can protect against erosion by providing permanent cover (Meeuwig, 1970), forming sod and generating adequate litter (Naeth et al., 1991).

The objectives of this study were to quantify runoff and sediment during snowmelt and rainfall events and to measure differences in bare ground and litter on annual and perennial pasture at different grazing intensities.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study site was at the Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada Lacombe Research Station in the aspen parkland. Annual precipitation averages 447.5 mm. Soils at the study site are Orthic Black Chernozems of a sandy loam texture. Slopes average 1 to 3%.

Heavy, medium and light grazing intensity treatments were implemented on a rotational basis throughout the growing season. Grazing was initiated and ceased at different specific target forage heights for each grazing intensity. Four forage treatments with potentially differing abilities to control erosion and produce litter were used. Smooth brome grass (*Bromus inermis* L.) and meadow brome grass (*Bromus riparius* Rehm.) were the perennial treatments; triticale (*X Triticosecale* Whitmack) and a triticale/barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.) mix were the annual treatments. The twelve resulting treatments were arranged in a randomized complete block design within four parallel blocks across an east-facing 3.8% slope.

A runoff frame assembly consisting of an above-ground 1-m<sup>2</sup> frame and an underground bucket collection system was installed in each treatment. Buckets within each frame assembly were checked after each rainfall event and during snowmelt. Runoff was subsampled for sediment content.

Litter was sampled in three randomly located 0.05 m<sup>2</sup> quadrats in each treatment before first grazing and after final grazing each year. Bare ground was measured in each treatment in five randomly located 0.10 m<sup>2</sup> quadrats during July of each year.

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Snowmelt was the dominant source of runoff. Few statistically significant treatment differences occurred for runoff and no consistent trends were noted other than snowmelt runoff in 1996 was greater than snowmelt runoff in 1995. All values were very low. Sediment yields were low and similar for snowmelt and rainfall. Few statistically significant treatment differences were measured and no consistent trends were noted other than snowmelt sediment

---

\* Presented at the 21st Annual Meeting, Canadian Land Reclamation Association, Calgary, Alberta, September 18-20, 1996.

yield in 1996 was consistently greater than in 1995. The high infiltration capacity and well-aggregated nature of the study site soils are reflected in these results.

Litter levels generally increased with decreased grazing intensity. Differences in litter with grazing intensity were more apparent in smooth brome grass and meadow brome grass treatments than in the annual treatments. Litter accumulated with time in these perennial treatments, however, this accumulation was considerably reduced at heavy grazing intensity.

Bare ground was higher under annuals than perennials in both years of the study and generally decreased with decreasing grazing intensity, especially in perennial treatments. Inter-row spaces in annuals greatly increased bare ground in this forage type, while the creeping habit of the perennial species tended to lose gaps in the canopy and provide more complete soil cover.

### CONCLUSIONS

Snowmelt dominated annual runoff while sediment yield was similar for snowmelt and rainfall events. Runoff and sediment values were low. Bare ground was greater under annual than perennial forage treatments. Litter tended to decrease with increasing grazing intensity. Grazing intensity is a key factor in controlling erosion, especially erosion from growing season runoff. The soils at this site have a high infiltration capacity and are not as severely impacted by compaction as other more erosion prone soils might be.

### REFERENCES

- Meeuwig, R.O. 1970. Infiltration and soil erosion as influenced by vegetation and soil in northern Utah. *Journal of Range Management*. 23:183-188.
- Naeth, M.A., A.W. Bailey, D.S. Chanasyk and D.J. Pluth, 1991. Water holding capacity of litter and soil organic matter in mixed prairie and fescue grasslands of Alberta. *Journal of Range Management* 44:13-17.
- Tomanek, G.W. 1969. Dynamics of mulch layer in grassland ecosystems. In: *The grassland ecosystem*. R.L. Dix and R.G. Beidleman (eds.). Science Series No. 2. Range Science Department, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO. Pp. 225-240.
- Wilcox, B.P. 1994. Runoff and erosion in intercanopy zones of pinyon-juniper woodlands. *Journal of Range Management*. 47:285-295.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Funding from the Canada Alberta Environmentally Sustainable Agriculture Agreement is gratefully acknowledged.



CANADIAN LAND RECLAMATION ASSOCIATION

ASSOCIATION CANADIENNE DE RÉHABILITATION DES SITES DÉGRADÉS

*Conservation and Reclamation:  
An Ecosystem Perspective*

Canadian Land Reclamation Association's  
21st Annual Meeting

Calgary, Alberta  
September 18-20, 1996

# **Conservation and Reclamation:**

## **An Ecosystem Perspective**

Canadian Land Reclamation Association's  
21st Annual Meeting

Calgary, Alberta  
September 18-20, 1996

This report may be cited as:

Powter, C.B., M.A. Naeth and D.A. Lloyd (compilers), 1996. Conservation and Reclamation: An Ecosystem Perspective. 21st Annual Meeting, Canadian Land Reclamation Association, Calgary, Alberta. 153 pp.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The compilers acknowledge the assistance of Jamie Legarie, Alberta Environmental Protection, Land Reclamation Division in preparing the manuscript. We also thank the authors for providing their papers on short notice.

The compilers edited some of the papers for format and length. We apologize for any errors that may have resulted.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>LIST OF SPONSORS</b> .....	vi
<b>INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES</b>	
Industrial Site Decommissioning in Alberta .....	1
<b>Walter J. Ceroici</b>	
Changing Knowledge Needs for Wellsite Reclamation .....	3
<b>Jim Smith</b>	
The Orphan Facilities and Pipeline Program: An Industry/Government Responsibility .....	7
<b>David Lloyd and David Sandmeyer</b>	
In-Situ Amelioration of Sodic Mine Spoil Using Sulfur, Gypsum and Crop Management ...	11
<b>Jeff J. Sansom, M. Anne Naeth, and David S. Chanasyk</b>	
Bioremediation of Contaminated Sites .....	13
<b>Christopher Schneider</b>	
Contaminant Metal Concentration in Alberta Soils Under Different Land Uses .....	17
<b>Gerry Lutwick, Gordon D. Dinwoodie, David Gower and G. E. Nason</b>	
Waste Disposal Guidelines from a Testing Lab's Perspective .....	19
<b>John Ashworth</b>	
Reclamation of a Former Heavy Oil Plant in Northeastern Alberta .....	23
<b>Tim R. Bossenberry, J. Jeffrey Wilson, David Evans, Darrell Chollak and George Walker</b>	
Nutrient and Metal Levels and Dynamics on a 30-year Revegetated Copper-Nickel Tailings Site at Copper Cliff, Ontario .....	27
<b>Jeremy Niemi, John Giffen and Keith Winterhalder</b>	
<b>FORESTS</b>	
Land Application of BCTMP Mill Waste Research in Alberta .....	31
<b>Terry M. Macyk</b>	
Reclamation to Native Forest Ecosystems in the Oil Sand Region .....	33
<b>Steve Tuttle</b>	
Land Capability Classification for Forest Ecosystems .....	37
<b>Leonard A. Leskiw, Steve Tuttle and Earle Anderson</b>	
Forest Land Restoration on Vancouver Island .....	39
<b>David Polster</b>	

Lichen Diversity in the Reclaimed Areas of Sudbury, Ontario .....	43
<b>S. Wainio and Peter Beckett</b>	

## **MOUNTAINS**

Application of Population Biology, Conservation Biology and Succession Theory to the Reclamation of a High Elevation Coal Mine .....	47
<b>Clint R. Smyth</b>	

Plant Community Changes in Response to Anchor Chaining and Seeding on Pinyon/Juniper Sites in Central Utah .....	51
<b>J. Gregory Sutor</b>	

Tailings Disposal and Reclamation at the Coal Valley Mine .....	53
<b>Dane McCoy</b>	

In-Pit Disposal Program for Acid Generating Waste Rock .....	57
<b>Jamie Quesnel and S. W. Stogran</b>	

Reclamation, Trans Canada Highway Twinning, Banff National Park .....	63
<b>Otto Hammer</b>	

## **GENERAL ISSUES**

Railway Reclamation: Issues and Opportunities .....	67
<b>Chris B. Powter and David A. Lloyd</b>	

Experiences in Reclaiming Railways Under Alberta's new Reclamation Guidelines .....	71
<b>Mark Polet</b>	

Pipeline Abandonment: A Discussion Paper .....	73
<b>Karen Etherington</b>	

Legal Perspectives on Land Reclamation .....	75
<b>Gilbert Van Nes</b>	

Liability for Reclamation: Enforcement at Common Law .....	77
<b>Adrian Currie</b>	

## **WATER AND WETLANDS**

Coursier Reservoir: The Planning and Costs Associated with the Reconstruction and Revegetation of a Subalpine Lake .....	81
<b>J. Leah Jackson</b>	

Spray Lakes Reclamation Project .....	85
<b>Margwyn R. Zaccaruk</b>	

The Pine Coulee Project: Linking Reclamation Planning to Impact Assessment .....	89
<b>Ron Middleton</b>	

Design and Construction Management Aspects of Constructed Wetlands .....	93
<b>Bernard Amell and Kim Eastlick</b>	

Natural Revegetation of an Alberta Peatland after Horticultural Peat Extraction .....	97
<b>Wayne S. Tedder and Larry W. Turchenek</b>	

## **RUNOFF/EROSION**

Computer Modelling of Soil Erosion from Reclaimed Land .....	101
<b>Les Sawatsky, Wes Dick and Senarath Ekanayake</b>	

Runoff and Sediment Yield under Grazing in Foothills Fescue Grassland of Alberta .....	103
<b>M. Anne Naeth and David S. Chanasyk</b>	

Runoff Curve Numbers for Two Reclaimed Watersheds in Central Alberta .....	107
<b>Ted E. Harms and David S. Chanasyk</b>	

Vegetation Characteristics, Grazing Disturbance and Soil Erosion .....	111
<b>Suzanne I. Gill, M. Anne Naeth, David S. Chanasyk and V. S. Baron</b>	

## **PRAIRIE**

Renewing the Ecological Integrity of Disturbed Native Prairie .....	113
<b>Heather S. Gerling</b>	

Mixed Grass Prairie habitat Restoration Project: Landscape Restoration in the Moist Mixed Grass Prairie .....	117
<b>Dean Nerberg</b>	

Native Prairie Regeneration After Pipeline Construction: A Comparison Between Topsoil Stripping versus No Topsoil Stripping During Construction .....	119
<b>Gordon Dunn and Gina Fryer</b>	

Restoration of Native Sandhills Vegetation after Pipeline Construction in the Great Sand Hills Region of Saskatchewan .....	123
<b>David G. Walker, Laurier Kremer and Wayne Marshall</b>	

The Effects of Grazing, Mowing, Glyphosate and Burning on Smooth Brome in Fescue Grassland .....	125
<b>D. J. Brown and M. Anne Naeth</b>	

## **VEGETATION**

Avoiding Downy Brome When Buying Seed .....	127
<b>Kerby Lowen and Sarah Foster-Stubbs</b>	

Biodiversity and Reclamation: How Many Species do we Need in a Seed Mix? . . . . .	131
<b>Dana Bush and M. Anne Naeth</b>	
Germination and Survivability of Selected Native and Introduced Grasses and Legumes . . . . .	133
<b>William E. Pelech and M. Anne Naeth</b>	
Road Maps for Repairing Ecological Damage . . . . .	135
<b>Andy Hammermeister</b>	
Ecovar Developments in Western Canada . . . . .	137
<b>Les Wetter and Brent Wark</b>	
Plant Growth on Aggregated Oil Sands Processing Wastes . . . . .	139
<b>Xiaomei Li, Jim Storey, Paul Y. P. Yeung and Martin Y. P. Fung</b>	
The Clover Bar Landfill: A State of the Art Landfill Reclamation Process . . . . .	141
<b>Ann Smreciu, Nolan Turner and Don Barron</b>	
<b>BULK DENSITY</b>	
A Method of Characterizing the Bulk Density of Compressible Particulate Material . . . . .	145
<b>D. Guenther, Stacy Schaub and J. Leonard</b>	
Near-Surface Compaction Under Grazing of Annual and Perennial Forages . . . . .	149
<b>Dennis Twerdoff, David S. Chanasyk, M. Anne Naeth and V. S. Baron</b>	
Grazing Impacts on Bulk Density and Soil Strength in the Foothills Fescue Grasslands of Alberta, Canada . . . . .	151
<b>David S. Chanasyk and M. Anne Naeth</b>	
Computer Modelling of Soil Erosion from Reclaimed Land . . . . .	155
<b>Les Sawatsky, Wes Dick and Senarath Ekanayake</b>	

**LIST OF SPONSORS****Diamond Level**

Alberta Environmental Centre  
TransCanada Pipeline Limited

**Gold Level**

AGRA Earth & Environmental Limited  
CHEMEX Labs Alberta Inc.  
Government of the Northwest Territories  
TransAlta Utilities Corporation

**Silver Level**

Canadian Energy Pipeline Association  
Cardinal River Coals Ltd.  
Inco Limited  
Olds College  
Imperial Oil Resources

**Bronze Level**

Alberta Treasury Branches  
Cigar Lake Mining Corporation  
Enron Oil Canada Ltd.  
Estevan Coal Corporation  
Hudson Bay Mining & Smelting Co. Ltd.  
Inland Industrial Materials  
Manalta Coal Ltd.  
Nelson Dynes & Associates Inc.  
NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd.  
PanCanadian Petroleum Limited

**Iron Level**

EnviResource Consulting Ltd.  
Morgan Hydrocarbons Inc.  
Petroleum Industry Training Service  
Western Ecological Systems Management Consulting Inc.