

IN-PIT DISPOSAL PROGRAM FOR ACID GENERATING WASTE ROCK

J.L. Quesnel, CTech

S.W. Stogran

Lakefield Research Limited
21 First Ave.,
Box 310,
Schumacher, ON P0N 1G0

Lakefield Research Limited
185 Concession St.,
Postal Bag 4300,
Lakefield, ON K0L 2H0

ABSTRACT

For many years open pits have been used for the disposal of waste rock. Mine waste disposal has become an environmental issue that is watched closely by government agencies and the public due to the legacies of past mine waste disposal methods.

An in-pit disposal program for acid generating waste rock was conducted by Lakefield Research Limited at an abandoned mine site which operated as an open pit and underground mine. The mine was closed in 1975 and the mine property was included in a provincial park.

Prior to commencing the in-pit disposal program, an Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) of the mine property was conducted. The data collected during the ESA was used to develop a reclamation program that would address the site specific conditions that would maximize the on site resources to minimize the costs of the reclamation program. The ESA included: 1) a site reconnaissance; 2) sampling and testing of the waste rock; 3) sampling and testing of the surface water on site; 4) sampling and testing of the surface water background; 5) sampling and testing of the open pit water; 6) the construction of test pits along the access roads to establish the depth of the waste rock and for sampling procedures; and, 7) a topographic survey of the mine site.

The data from the testing program was used to determine the acid generating potential of the waste rock and the surface water quality. Two locations containing waste rock had started generating acid which had not generated acid at the time of closure, in 1975. One location contained an acid generating waste rock dump at a ramp location. In addition, the access road to the ramp area was constructed of acid generating waste rock. The second location was along the shore of a river, where acid generating waste rock had been used for construction of an access road for a pump house. The water quality of the pit water was acceptable and there was no evidence of the pit wall rock degrading the water quality. The water quality data of the pit water determined that a volume of the pit water could be discharged to the environment prior to placement of the waste rock.

Prior to the field program, the effect of placing the waste rock into the flooded open pit was determined by completing a laboratory column test with the waste rock and the open pit water. The results of the program were used to help predict any changes in water quality that would occur during dewatering of the open pit and waste rock placement.

From the results of the test program an in-pit disposal program was conducted for the waste rock. The acid generating waste rock was effectively disposed of in the flooded open pit using a simple underwater disposal method.

INTRODUCTION

There are four major concepts that have been used for the in-pit disposal of acid generating waste rock and these are: 1) underwater disposal, 2) elevated water tables, 3) dry disposal, and 4) perched water tables (Senes, 1995).

**Presented at the 21st Annual Meeting, Canadian Land Reclamation Association,
Calgary, Alberta, September 18-20, 1996.**

**Presente a la 21eme reunion annuelle de l'Association Canadienne de Rehabilitation des Sites Degradés,
Calgary, Alberta, September 18-20, 1996.**

It should be noted that in-pit disposal is not suitable for all types of waste material or for all open pits. Many technical factors would determine the appropriate method of disposal. Some of the factors follow: (Senes 1995).

1. the acid generation potential of the pit walls and the waste rock;
2. the geotechnical characteristics of the pit walls;
3. the underground development at the open pit location;
4. the surface water, pit water and ground water quality; and,
5. the hydrology and hydrogeology of the open pit.

An in-pit disposal program for acid generating waste rock was conducted by Lakefield Research Limited at an abandoned mine site which had operated as an open pit and underground mine. The mine was operational from 1969 until its closure in 1975. The mine property was included in a provincial park subsequent to its closure.

Prior to commencing the in-pit disposal program, an Environmental Site Assessment (ESA) of the mine property was conducted. The data collected during the ESA was used to develop a reclamation program that would address the site specific conditions that would maximize the on site resources to minimize the costs of the reclamation program. The ESA included: 1) a site reconnaissance; 2) sampling and testing of the waste rock; 3) sampling and testing of the surface water on site; 4) sampling and testing of the open pit water; 5) the construction of test pits along the access roads to establish the depth of the waste rock and to collect samples; and, 6) a topographic survey of the mine site.

Once the ESA was completed the mine plans were reviewed. The primary focus of the review was to assess the layout of the open pit, determine the ideal location for end dumping the material and locating the deepest area of the open pit. The underground development was also reviewed to determine if the underground development had advanced under the open pit. The pit wall stability was evident.

ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT

There appeared to be no known stability problems as the pit was idle for the last 20 years without a known pit wall stability problem. In addition the water quality of the pit water was within the provincial water quality objectives and there was no evidence of degradation of the pit water quality from the pit wall rock. It appeared that the open pit was suitable for a Simple Underwater Disposal (SUD) method.

Two areas were discovered during the ESA that contained acid generating waste rock. One area was called the "F" zone which contained approximately 21,584 tonnes of potentially acid generating waste rock. The primary area of focus was the 'F' Zone ramp location and to the west of the existing swamp area including the access road. The second area, known as the Pumphouse Road, contained approximately 7,700 tonnes of acid generating waste rock.

ANALYTICAL TESTING & RESULTS

Waste rock and water samples were collected in 1994, by the property owners, in accordance with a protocol provided by Lakefield Research Limited. These samples were analyzed and the data was used in determining the chemical characteristics of the surface water and the waste rock. A bulk water sample from the open pit was retrieved for a laboratory column test to simulate the placement of the acid generating waste rock into a flooded open pit.

Surface Water Quality Testing

Twelve surface water samples were collected to chemically characterize the quality of the water at designated locations. The water samples were tested for pH, acidity, alkalinity, and conductivity. An Inductive Coupled Plasma Emission Spectroscopy (ICP-ES) total metal scan and sulphate analysis were also performed. The results of the tests were compared to the Manitoba Surface Water Quality Objectives (Class

1- Domestic Consumption and Class 2 - Aquatic Life and Wildlife). The Manitoba Surface Water Quality Objectives lists a Class 3 - Industrial Consumption category, but this class was not used as it is site specific and no guidelines were issued for surface water quality objectives at the site in question. Class 1, Domestic Consumption, defines objectives that ensure the protection of waters that are suitable for human consumption, culinary or food processing purposes as well as other household purposes. Guidelines for the Manitoba Class 1 Water Quality Objectives also state that changes in water quality due to human influence should not cause an unacceptable increased risk to public health or an unacceptable increased treatment cost to the water user or supplier. Class 2, Aquatic Life and Wildlife, objectives have been established to ensure the protection of streams, lakes, marshes, swamps, lowlands, and any other aquatic community or wildlife which may rely upon the surface water for habitat and or food supply. These objectives also ensure the passage, maintenance and propagation of fish species and additional flora and fauna which are indigenous to a cool water habitat.

Monitoring station 144-100, which is upstream of the mine site on an adjacent river was used as a background station. Comparison of sample data collected from the monitoring stations 144-111 (downstream of creek mix zone at the river), 144-105 (old pumphouse location at the river, upstream of the access road) and 144-106 (old pumphouse location at the river, downstream of the access road) with monitoring station 144-100, indicated that the mine site did not cause a major impact on the receiver (the river). However, the data also indicated that there were small localized impacts.

Data from a monitoring station located at the toe of the waste rock at the "F" Zone, suggested that there was an impact on the small pond at the toe of the waste rock. Higher concentrations of some metals were noted at this location than at other monitoring stations. The conductivity, sulphate, sulphur and iron were also higher at this location than at other monitoring stations. The pH (2.86) noted at this monitoring station was lower than the pH noted at the other stations. Field observations also note a visual difference at this location, as the water was a brown tea color and evidence of hydroxide precipitate was present. The data from the downstream monitoring stations showed a decline in heavy metal concentrations downstream of 144-104.

The water quality of the pit water was acceptable and there was no evidence of the pit wall rock degrading the water quality. From the pit water quality data, it was determined that a volume of the pit water could be discharged to the environment prior to placement of the waste rock.

Waste Rock Testing

The data from the testing program was also used to determine the acid generating potential of the waste rock. Two locations containing waste rock had started generating acid, which had not generated acid at the time of closure, in 1975. One location was an acid generating waste rock dump at a ramp location ("F" Zone). In addition, the access road to the ramp area had been constructed of acid generating waste rock. The second location was along the shore of the adjacent river, where acid generating waste rock had been used for construction of an access road for a pump house.

Eleven composite waste rock samples were collected to chemically characterize the quality of the waste rock at designated locations. The samples were tested for acid generating potential by using the B.C. Research Initial Static Test.

The following guidelines were used to evaluate the waste rock samples collected and to identify the materials which were potentially acid generating. Materials with an ACA/APP ratio greater than 4 were not considered to have acid generating potential. Materials with an ACA/APP ratio less than 1 were considered to have acid generating potential. The acid production potential of materials with an ACA/APP ratio between 4 and 1 was considered uncertain and confirmation testing may be conducted to determine the acid generating potential of the material.

Laboratory Column Testing

Prior to the field program, the effect of placing the waste rock into the flooded open pit was determined by completing a laboratory column test with the waste rock and the open pit water. The results of the program were used to help predict any changes in water quality that would occur during dewatering of the open pit and waste rock placement and to predict potential long term impacts.

Forty-five liters of pit water and a 2 kilogram sample of the "F" zone waste rock were collected and transported to the Lakefield Research Limited environmental facilities for testing. The simulation was performed using a plastic column, 10 centimeters in diameter and 1.5 meters in height. A volume of water, 8,260 mls, was placed in the column. This water was sampled and analyzed before the placement of rock into the column. The water samples were analyzed for ICP metal scan (total and dissolved), pH, acidity, alkalinity, conductivity, total suspended solids and sulphate. The waste rock from the "F" zone, was crushed to minus 6 mesh (Tyler) and placed in the column at 2% of the volume of water, which was similar to the ratio of rock to water that would occur in the field. The total volume of rock placed into the column was 165.22 cubic centimeters. The water in the column was sampled and tested 24 hours after the placement of the rock in the column for the aforementioned parameters. These results were used to help predict any changes in water quality that would occur during dewatering of the pit and waste rock placement.

FIELD PROGRAM

An in-pit disposal program was developed for the waste rock using the results of the laboratory test program. The following were considered during the design of the Simple Underwater Disposal (SUD) program.

- the flooded open pit contained no known surface discharge areas;
- the pit water quality was acceptable for discharging to the environment because there was no degradation of the pit water from the pit wall rock and ground water;
- the waste rock volume to be placed in the open pit was 2% of the open pit capacity;
- the acid generating waste rock would be placed at the deepest and central location of the open pit which would reduce the oxidation potential of the sulfides;
- elimination of long term liability of acid generating waste rock was required, and;
- the disposal method used must be cost effective.

The data from the waste rock sampling program and the topographic surveying that was completed in August of 1994 was used to determine the approximate quantities of waste rock that could be extracted and placed in the open pit.

The in-pit disposal program was discussed with the Manitoba Ministry of Environment prior to the start of the program. The Ministry of Environment verbally approved the concept of dewatering a percentage of the open pit after reviewing the water quality data. A work permit from the Manitoba Ministry of Natural Resources was obtained for mine reclamation.

The in-pit disposal program commenced on May 7, 1995 and was finished on May 20, 1995, for a duration of 14 days. During the in-pit disposal program, it was necessary to dewater the open pit to 1.5 meters below the existing pit water elevation. Approximately 30,000 m³ (7.9 million U.S. gallons) of pit water was discharged. The pit water was discharged to the creek adjacent to the open pit. This exposed the existing pit ramp which was used for the placement of the waste rock into the bottom of the flooded open pit.

Inert waste rock was placed over the existing pit ramp to ensure the safety of the trucks when transporting waste rock to the open pit. A berm was constructed at the water edge of the ramp to ensure the safety of the trucks while on the ramp.

The acid generating waste rock from the "F" zone was extracted, transported and placed into the flooded open pit. The waste rock was excavated to the clay horizon. The clay was recontoured at the areas of extraction. The potentially acid generating waste rock along the road was extracted to a depth between 0.5 meters and 1.0 meters, depending on the quality of the waste rock.

Approximately 7,700 tonnes of waste rock material from the Pumphouse Road area was extracted to the clay horizon, transported and placed into the flooded open pit. The primary area of focus was the previous pumphouse location along the shore of the river and the access road which was constructed of acid generating waste rock.

After all of the waste rock had been placed into the open pit, the upper 0.5 metres of the ramp was excavated and placed into the open pit. This was done to ensure that all the waste rock that spilled onto the ramp was placed in the open pit. It appeared that the majority of the waste rock had been successfully placed into the deepest cut of the open pit. This was determined by measuring the depth of the disposal area at the open pit with a depth indicator.

Analytical testing conducted before and after the field program showed the water quality at the "F" Zone dramatically improved after the extraction of the acid generating waste rock. The water was sampled at the toe of the waste rock pile prior to the extraction process (Pre Extract) and 14 days after the extraction process of the waste rock (Post Extract).

COMPARISON OF BENCH AND FIELD RESULTS

The results of the laboratory column test and the analytical results conducted on samples collected during the field program showed that the column test was a good indicator of what occurred in the field. The column test and field results showed an iron concentration increase of 2 fold. The pH of the water in the column test remained constant and this was also observed in the field. The conductivity of the column test water decreased. This decrease was also reflected in the field results.

Some discrepancies were also noted between the field and laboratory results. The column test showed that the nickel concentrations would increase, however the field results showed that the nickel concentration remained stable. The analytical results of the column test results also showed that the Total Suspended Solids (TSS) concentration levels would increase, but the field results showed that the TSS were not detectable both before and after placement of the acid generating waste rock into the open pit. This may have been due to the increased settling time available in the field. It was anticipated at the beginning of the program that the increased dilution and settling time in the field would result in better water quality than in the laboratory. Therefore the laboratory was used to determine the worst case scenario which was not observed during the field program.

REFERENCES

Senes Consultants Ltd. 1995. Review of in-pit disposal practices for the prevention of acid drainage, case studies. MEND Report 2.36.1. Canadian Centre for Mineral and Energy Technology (CANMET), Ottawa. Ontario 89 p. + appendices



CANADIAN LAND RECLAMATION ASSOCIATION

ASSOCIATION CANADIENNE DE RÉHABILITATION DES SITES DÉGRADÉS

***Conservation and Reclamation:
An Ecosystem Perspective***

Canadian Land Reclamation Association's
21st Annual Meeting

Calgary, Alberta
September 18-20, 1996

Conservation and Reclamation:

An Ecosystem Perspective

Canadian Land Reclamation Association's
21st Annual Meeting

Calgary, Alberta
September 18-20, 1996

This report may be cited as:

Powter, C.B., M.A. Naeth and D.A. Lloyd (compilers), 1996. Conservation and Reclamation: An Ecosystem Perspective. 21st Annual Meeting, Canadian Land Reclamation Association, Calgary, Alberta. 153 pp.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The compilers acknowledge the assistance of Jamie Legarie, Alberta Environmental Protection, Land Reclamation Division in preparing the manuscript. We also thank the authors for providing their papers on short notice.

The compilers edited some of the papers for format and length. We apologize for any errors that may have resulted.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF SPONSORS	vi
INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	
Industrial Site Decommissioning in Alberta	1
Walter J. Ceroici	
Changing Knowledge Needs for Wellsite Reclamation	3
Jim Smith	
The Orphan Facilities and Pipeline Program: An Industry/Government Responsibility	7
David Lloyd and David Sandmeyer	
In-Situ Amelioration of Sodic Mine Spoil Using Sulfur, Gypsum and Crop Management ...	11
Jeff J. Sansom, M. Anne Naeth, and David S. Chanasyk	
Bioremediation of Contaminated Sites	13
Christopher Schneider	
Contaminant Metal Concentration in Alberta Soils Under Different Land Uses	17
Gerry Lutwick, Gordon D. Dinwoodie, David Gower and G. E. Nason	
Waste Disposal Guidelines from a Testing Lab's Perspective	19
John Ashworth	
Reclamation of a Former Heavy Oil Plant in Northeastern Alberta	23
Tim R. Bossenberry, J. Jeffrey Wilson, David Evans, Darrell Chollak and George Walker	
Nutrient and Metal Levels and Dynamics on a 30-year Revegetated Copper-Nickel Tailings Site at Copper Cliff, Ontario	27
Jeremy Niemi, John Giffen and Keith Winterhalder	
FORESTS	
Land Application of BCTMP Mill Waste Research in Alberta	31
Terry M. Macyk	
Reclamation to Native Forest Ecosystems in the Oil Sand Region	33
Steve Tuttle	
Land Capability Classification for Forest Ecosystems	37
Leonard A. Leskiw, Steve Tuttle and Earle Anderson	
Forest Land Restoration on Vancouver Island	39
David Polster	

Lichen Diversity in the Reclaimed Areas of Sudbury, Ontario	43
S. Wainio and Peter Beckett	

MOUNTAINS

Application of Population Biology, Conservation Biology and Succession Theory to the Reclamation of a High Elevation Coal Mine	47
Clint R. Smyth	

Plant Community Changes in Response to Anchor Chaining and Seeding on Pinyon/Juniper Sites in Central Utah	51
J. Gregory Sutor	

Tailings Disposal and Reclamation at the Coal Valley Mine	53
Dane McCoy	

In-Pit Disposal Program for Acid Generating Waste Rock	57
Jamie Quesnel and S. W. Stogran	

Reclamation, Trans Canada Highway Twinning, Banff National Park	63
Otto Hammer	

GENERAL ISSUES

Railway Reclamation: Issues and Opportunities	67
Chris B. Powter and David A. Lloyd	

Experiences in Reclaiming Railways Under Alberta's new Reclamation Guidelines	71
Mark Polet	

Pipeline Abandonment: A Discussion Paper	73
Karen Etherington	

Legal Perspectives on Land Reclamation	75
Gilbert Van Nes	

Liability for Reclamation: Enforcement at Common Law	77
Adrian Currie	

WATER AND WETLANDS

Coursier Reservoir: The Planning and Costs Associated with the Reconstruction and Revegetation of a Subalpine Lake	81
J. Leah Jackson	

Spray Lakes Reclamation Project	85
Margwyn R. Zacaruk	

The Pine Coulee Project: Linking Reclamation Planning to Impact Assessment	89
Ron Middleton	

Design and Construction Management Aspects of Constructed Wetlands	93
Bernard Amell and Kim Eastlick	

Natural Revegetation of an Alberta Peatland after Horticultural Peat Extraction	97
Wayne S. Tedder and Larry W. Turchenek	

RUNOFF/EROSION

Computer Modelling of Soil Erosion from Reclaimed Land	101
Les Sawatsky, Wes Dick and Senarath Ekanayake	

Runoff and Sediment Yield under Grazing in Foothills Fescue Grassland of Alberta	103
M. Anne Naeth and David S. Chanasyk	

Runoff Curve Numbers for Two Reclaimed Watersheds in Central Alberta	107
Ted E. Harms and David S. Chanasyk	

Vegetation Characteristics, Grazing Disturbance and Soil Erosion	111
Suzanne I. Gill, M. Anne Naeth, David S. Chanasyk and V. S. Baron	

PRAIRIE

Renewing the Ecological Integrity of Disturbed Native Prairie	113
Heather S. Gerling	

Mixed Grass Prairie habitat Restoration Project: Landscape Restoration in the Moist Mixed Grass Prairie	117
Dean Nerberg	

Native Prairie Regeneration After Pipeline Construction: A Comparison Between Topsoil Stripping versus No Topsoil Stripping During Construction	119
Gordon Dunn and Gina Fryer	

Restoration of Native Sandhills Vegetation after Pipeline Construction in the Great Sand Hills Region of Saskatchewan	123
David G. Walker, Laurier Kremer and Wayne Marshall	

The Effects of Grazing, Mowing, Glyphosate and Burning on Smooth Brome in Fescue Grassland	125
D. J. Brown and M. Anne Naeth	

VEGETATION

Avoiding Downy Brome When Buying Seed	127
Kerby Lowen and Sarah Foster-Stubbs	

Biodiversity and Reclamation: How Many Species do we Need in a Seed Mix?	131
Dana Bush and M. Anne Naeth	
Germination and Survivability of Selected Native and Introduced Grasses and Legumes	133
William E. Pelech and M. Anne Naeth	
Road Maps for Repairing Ecological Damage	135
Andy Hammermeister	
Ecovar Developments in Western Canada	137
Les Wetter and Brent Wark	
Plant Growth on Aggregated Oil Sands Processing Wastes	139
Xiaomei Li, Jim Storey, Paul Y. P. Yeung and Martin Y. P. Fung	
The Clover Bar Landfill: A State of the Art Landfill Reclamation Process	141
Ann Smreciu, Nolan Turner and Don Barron	
BULK DENSITY	
A Method of Characterizing the Bulk Density of Compressible Particulate Material	145
D. Guenther, Stacy Schaub and J. Leonard	
Near-Surface Compaction Under Grazing of Annual and Perennial Forages	149
Dennis Twerdoff, David S. Chanasyk, M. Anne Naeth and V. S. Baron	
Grazing Impacts on Bulk Density and Soil Strength in the Foothills Fescue Grasslands of Alberta, Canada	151
David S. Chanasyk and M. Anne Naeth	
Computer Modelling of Soil Erosion from Reclaimed Land	155
Les Sawatsky, Wes Dick and Senarath Ekanayake	

LIST OF SPONSORS**Diamond Level**

Alberta Environmental Centre
TransCanada Pipeline Limited

Gold Level

AGRA Earth & Environmental Limited
CHEMEX Labs Alberta Inc.
Government of the Northwest Territories
TransAlta Utilities Corporation

Silver Level

Canadian Energy Pipeline Association
Cardinal River Coals Ltd.
Inco Limited
Olds College
Imperial Oil Resources

Bronze Level

Alberta Treasury Branches
Cigar Lake Mining Corporation
Enron Oil Canada Ltd.
Estevan Coal Corporation
Hudson Bay Mining & Smelting Co. Ltd.
Inland Industrial Materials
Manalta Coal Ltd.
Nelson Dynes & Associates Inc.
NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd.
PanCanadian Petroleum Limited

Iron Level

EnviResource Consulting Ltd.
Morgan Hydrocarbons Inc.
Petroleum Industry Training Service
Western Ecological Systems Management Consulting Inc.