

## NATURAL REVEGETATION OF AN ALBERTA PEATLAND AFTER HORTICULTURAL PEAT EXTRACTION

W.S. Tedder and L.W. Turchenek

Geowest Environmental Consulting Ltd., Suite 203, 4209 - 99 St., Edmonton, Alberta T6E 5V7, and  
Landcare Research & Consulting Inc., 230 Rhatigan Road West, Edmonton, Alberta T6R 1C1

### INTRODUCTION

Natural revegetation of peatlands after horticultural peat extraction, which hasn't been previously documented in Alberta, is described herein in relation to feasibility as a reclamation option. A peatland of several hundred hectares, located 100 km west of Edmonton, Alberta, was harvested and then abandoned in several stages between 1970 and 1980. No reclamation was initiated and the drainage network was left partially functional. The cutover bog is characterized by a variety of site types with differences in peat thickness, water table regime, peat botanical composition, peat chemistry and topography, and it has since been colonized by differing vegetation associations.

Colonization of abandoned, cutover bogs has been described elsewhere (Elling and Knight 1984; Famous et al., 1991; Jonsson-Ninniss and Middleton 1991; Salonen and Setälä 1992), and research is underway at the University of Alberta and at Laval University to establish *Sphagnum* and other native peatland species. Knowledge of critical site environmental parameters will help land managers anticipate the direction of revegetation and evaluate feasibility of natural revegetation as a reclamation option. A two year study was initiated in 1994 to investigate the ecosite characteristics of five site types approximately 14 years after abandonment.

### METHODOLOGY

Preliminary ecosite mapping and soil and water chemistry data for this project was available from a broader reclamation project involving development of a protocol for soil inventory of cutover peatlands (Turchenek et al. 1993). Five site types were selected, subjectively based on observable differences in vegetation composition. Four replicate plots of each site type were established.

Each 25 m<sup>2</sup> plot was centered on a capped, slotted, 5 cm I.D. groundwater monitoring tube. Water table levels were measured bi-monthly from May to October in 1994 and 1995. Vegetation species were identified and percent cover for each species was estimated. Soils were sampled with a MacCauley corer at 1-10 cm and 10-25 cm intervals from 10 sample points within each plot and then composited for analysis. Soils were analyzed for pH, weak acid extractable Ca, Mg, K, and P, and for KCl extractable NO<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>4</sub>. The degree of decomposition was estimated using the von Post index and rubbed fibre measurements, bulk density was determined by volumetric sampling, and botanical composition was estimated visually.

### RESULTS

#### Vegetation

An adjacent undisturbed site was characterized by typical bog species (*Picea mariana*/*Ledum groenlandicum*/*Sphagnum spp.* site type). The site is dominated by hummock-forming *Sphagnum spp.* and *Ledum groenlandicum* accompanied by several lichen species and an open overstory of stunted *Picea mariana*. Few other non-*Sphagnum* mosses or sedges were present and brown mosses were absent.

The five study site types were designated as: i) barren peat, ii) *Betula*/*Eriophorum*, iii) *Eriophorum*/moss, iv) *Betula*/*Ledum*/*Polytrichum*, and v) *Carex*/moss. A component of bare peat was present in all disturbed sites, ranging from a mean low of 2% in the *Carex*/moss site type to 100% in the barren peat site type. Species numbers ranged from 9 to 23 in the replicate plots while the undisturbed site had a similarly low number of 20 species. However, the disturbed sites differed considerably from the undisturbed site in species composition. For example, *Picea mariana* and *Smilacina trifolia* had not yet become established on any disturbed sites and the variety of

\* Presented at the 21st Annual Meeting, Canadian Land Reclamation Association, Calgary, Alberta, September 18-20, 1996.

lichens was less on any given plot. *Sphagnum* spp. mosses were neither widespread nor present in the same percentage as in the undisturbed site.

*Sphagnum* spp. and brown mosses were nearly absent from the relatively dry *Betula/Eriophorum* and *Betula/Ledum/Polytrichum* sites. The relatively wetter *Carex/moss* and *Eriophorum/moss* site types were colonized by a variety of brown (e.g., *Polytrichum strictum* and *Aulacomnium palustre*) and *Sphagnum* species but lacked lichens. Species common to most of the disturbed site types included *Betula neoalaskana*, *Salix maccaliana*, *Calamagrostis canadensis*, *Eriophorum vaginatum* and *Polytrichum strictum*.

In general, a wider variety of trees, shrubs, herbs, graminoids and moss species have become established in the cutover peatland sites as compared to the undisturbed peatland. The presence of a variety of brown mosses in the *Carex/moss* and *Eriophorum/moss* site types suggests a nutrient regime that differs from the original bog peatland.

### Peat Chemistry and Composition

pH levels were typical of native bog and poor fen systems, although the barren peat and *Betula/Eriophorum* pH values were significantly lower than in the *Carex/moss* sites. Extractable nutrient levels in the peat soils at all sites were low and were comparable to low values reported previously (Turchenek et al 1993). The barren peat site type had the lowest levels of P, Ca, Mg and K, while the peat substrate in the *Carex/moss* site type had the highest levels of these nutrients. Contents of NO<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>4</sub> were highest in the barren peat and the *Betula/Eriophorum* peat soils.

The degree of decomposition and the botanical composition of the peat materials at the five sites were similar. Nutrient contents between some of the site types were significantly different. The most common occurrences of differences in nutrient contents occurred between the barren peat site type and one or more of the other types.

### Water Table Levels

There was considerable variation within and between some sites at any given time. Heavy precipitation during July 1994 and August 1995 produced significant short-term rises in water level at all site types. The barren peat site type had the greatest variability, ranging from -126 to -11 cm with a mean of -60 cm. The *Betula/Ledum/Polytrichum* site type was less variable and had a mean of -36 cm for two replicates and -60 cm for the other two. The wettest site types, *Carex/moss* and *Eriophorum/moss*, had mean water levels within 10 cm of the surface and had relatively low temporal variability. The *Carex/moss* site type was flooded for an extended period in 1994.

## DISCUSSION

The barren peat, *Betula/Eriophorum* and two of the *Betula/Ledum/Polytrichum* replicates had water table levels that averaged -40 cm or less. This depth is considered the minimum for survival of *Sphagnum* mosses. The barren peat surface can become very dry and subject to wind erosion with excessively low water table levels. In contrast, the *Eriophorum/moss* site type had consistently high water levels, at or slightly above the peat surface, as well as the greatest *Sphagnum* moss component with hummock formation. The *Carex/moss* site type, being flooded for long periods with water depths up to 20 cm, was too wet for many of the species present in the other site types. The *Betula/Eriophorum* site type was very similar to the barren peat site type except for a higher mean water level of 40 cm. The two *Betula/Ledum/Polytrichum* replicates, with a mean -36 cm water table level, had a dense *Polytrichum* cover in which *Sphagnum fuscum* was becoming established.

The five site types were similar in terms of composition and degree of humification of the peat. The peat substrates among the sites differed to some extent. The barren peat and two of the *Betula/Ledum/Polytrichum* site types were most similar with regard to water table levels, however, P, Ca, Mg and K were higher in the latter site type. Thus, substrate fertility appears to be a factor in the development of different site types. The relatively high NO<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>4</sub> of the barren peat and *Betula/Eriophorum* site types was likely attributable to accumulation as a consequence of absence of vegetation that would normally utilize these nutrients. The dominance of *Eriophorum vaginatum* in the *Betula/Eriophorum* site type may be related to the relatively high NH<sub>4</sub> in the peat as this species has been shown to favour NH<sub>4</sub>-N in its nitrogen uptake (Salonen and Setälä 1992).

The slightly higher pH levels in the *Betula/Ledum/Polytrichum*, *Carex*/moss and *Eriophorum*/moss site types suggest that they are richer in nutrients than the barren peat and *Betula/Eriophorum* site types. The differences in nutrient contents, however, may be attributable to replenishment arising from higher water tables in some of the site types.

## CONCLUSIONS

1. The rate of natural revegetation on cutover peatlands depends on the site conditions, in particular, the water table level. Adverse site conditions can prevent any revegetation for at least 15 years.
2. *Sphagnum* moss development appears to be limited to sites with a mean water table level higher than -40 cm and preferably within -20 cm.
3. Lichen, *Betula*, *Ledum* and *Picea* species were most prevalent in sites where the mean water table level was between -30 and -60 cm.
4. Dry, nutrient-poor sites are the slowest to revegetate. Mean water table levels of -60 cm result in a peat surface that is too dry for plant establishment. Reclamation assistance is required for such sites.
5. *Carex* spp. can become well established in less than 10 years and will tend to be dominant in areas subject to long term inundation.
6. The number of species that become established in a site type is similar to that of a typical bog; however, the species are different. Diversity in post-harvest site types leads to relatively high diversity in species composition.
7. It appears that the current stage of revegetation and sequence of colonization is similar to that noted by researchers in Eastern Canada. For example, bog species such as *Picea mariana* and *Smilacina trifolia* are very slow to return to a disturbed peatland.
8. The success of natural revegetation depends on the time horizon acceptable to the land manager. Five to ten years is likely required to colonize and stabilize most peatlands assuming site conditions are suitable. The return to the original bog species composition may require many decades.
9. Water table management is essential to ensure successful revegetation and to guide the direction of revegetation. Soil chemistry adjustment may be required for problem soils.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Peat analyses were carried out at the Limnology Laboratory, University of Alberta. Dr. Barbara Nicholson provided the data for vegetation species and coverage. The assistance of Dr. Dale Vitt, Biological Sciences Department, is gratefully acknowledged. Funding for this project was provided by Sun Gro Horticulture Canada Ltd., and thanks are extended to Mr. Tony Cable of the company for his assistance with the project. Project support and permission to conduct this research on public lands was granted by Alberta Agriculture, Food and Rural Development, and by the lessee, Sun Gro Horticulture Canada Ltd.

## REFERENCES

- Elling, A.E. and M.D. Knight. 1984. *Sphagnum* moss recovery after harvest in a Minnesota bog. *Journal of Soil and Water Conservation* 39: 209-211.
- Famous, N.C., Spencer, M. and Nilsson, H. 1991. Revegetation patterns in harvested peatlands in central and eastern North America. Presented at 1991 International Peat Symposium, Duluth, Minnesota.
- Jonsson-Ninniss, S. and Middleton, J. 1991. Effect of peat extraction on the vegetation in Wainfleet bog, Ontario. *Canadian Field-Naturalist* 105: 505-511.
- Salonen, V. and H. Setälä. 1992. Plant colonization of bare peat surface - relative importance of seed availability and soil. *Ecography* 15: 199-204.
- Turchenek, L.W., W.S. Tedder and R. Krzanowski. 1993. Mapping and characterization of cutover peatlands for reclamation planning. Alberta Conservation and Reclamation Council Report No. RRTAC 93-5. Edmonton, Alberta. 100 pp.



CANADIAN LAND RECLAMATION ASSOCIATION

ASSOCIATION CANADIENNE DE RÉHABILITATION DES SITES DÉGRADÉS

*Conservation and Reclamation:  
An Ecosystem Perspective*

Canadian Land Reclamation Association's  
21st Annual Meeting

Calgary, Alberta  
September 18-20, 1996

# **Conservation and Reclamation:**

## **An Ecosystem Perspective**

Canadian Land Reclamation Association's  
21st Annual Meeting

Calgary, Alberta  
September 18-20, 1996

This report may be cited as:

Powter, C.B., M.A. Naeth and D.A. Lloyd (compilers), 1996. Conservation and Reclamation: An Ecosystem Perspective. 21st Annual Meeting, Canadian Land Reclamation Association, Calgary, Alberta. 153 pp.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The compilers acknowledge the assistance of Jamie Legarie, Alberta Environmental Protection, Land Reclamation Division in preparing the manuscript. We also thank the authors for providing their papers on short notice.

The compilers edited some of the papers for format and length. We apologize for any errors that may have resulted.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>LIST OF SPONSORS</b> .....	vi
<b>INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES</b>	
Industrial Site Decommissioning in Alberta .....	1
<b>Walter J. Ceroici</b>	
Changing Knowledge Needs for Wellsite Reclamation .....	3
<b>Jim Smith</b>	
The Orphan Facilities and Pipeline Program: An Industry/Government Responsibility .....	7
<b>David Lloyd and David Sandmeyer</b>	
In-Situ Amelioration of Sodic Mine Spoil Using Sulfur, Gypsum and Crop Management ...	11
<b>Jeff J. Sansom, M. Anne Naeth, and David S. Chanasyk</b>	
Bioremediation of Contaminated Sites .....	13
<b>Christopher Schneider</b>	
Contaminant Metal Concentration in Alberta Soils Under Different Land Uses .....	17
<b>Gerry Lutwick, Gordon D. Dinwoodie, David Gower and G. E. Nason</b>	
Waste Disposal Guidelines from a Testing Lab's Perspective .....	19
<b>John Ashworth</b>	
Reclamation of a Former Heavy Oil Plant in Northeastern Alberta .....	23
<b>Tim R. Bossenberry, J. Jeffrey Wilson, David Evans, Darrell Chollak and George Walker</b>	
Nutrient and Metal Levels and Dynamics on a 30-year Revegetated Copper-Nickel Tailings Site at Copper Cliff, Ontario .....	27
<b>Jeremy Niemi, John Giffen and Keith Winterhalder</b>	
<b>FORESTS</b>	
Land Application of BCTMP Mill Waste Research in Alberta .....	31
<b>Terry M. Macyk</b>	
Reclamation to Native Forest Ecosystems in the Oil Sand Region .....	33
<b>Steve Tuttle</b>	
Land Capability Classification for Forest Ecosystems .....	37
<b>Leonard A. Leskiw, Steve Tuttle and Earle Anderson</b>	
Forest Land Restoration on Vancouver Island .....	39
<b>David Polster</b>	

Lichen Diversity in the Reclaimed Areas of Sudbury, Ontario .....	43
<b>S. Wainio and Peter Beckett</b>	

## **MOUNTAINS**

Application of Population Biology, Conservation Biology and Succession Theory to the Reclamation of a High Elevation Coal Mine .....	47
<b>Clint R. Smyth</b>	

Plant Community Changes in Response to Anchor Chaining and Seeding on Pinyon/Juniper Sites in Central Utah .....	51
<b>J. Gregory Sutor</b>	

Tailings Disposal and Reclamation at the Coal Valley Mine .....	53
<b>Dane McCoy</b>	

In-Pit Disposal Program for Acid Generating Waste Rock .....	57
<b>Jamie Quesnel and S. W. Stogran</b>	

Reclamation, Trans Canada Highway Twinning, Banff National Park .....	63
<b>Otto Hammer</b>	

## **GENERAL ISSUES**

Railway Reclamation: Issues and Opportunities .....	67
<b>Chris B. Powter and David A. Lloyd</b>	

Experiences in Reclaiming Railways Under Alberta's new Reclamation Guidelines .....	71
<b>Mark Polet</b>	

Pipeline Abandonment: A Discussion Paper .....	73
<b>Karen Etherington</b>	

Legal Perspectives on Land Reclamation .....	75
<b>Gilbert Van Nes</b>	

Liability for Reclamation: Enforcement at Common Law .....	77
<b>Adrian Currie</b>	

## **WATER AND WETLANDS**

Coursier Reservoir: The Planning and Costs Associated with the Reconstruction and Revegetation of a Subalpine Lake .....	81
<b>J. Leah Jackson</b>	

Spray Lakes Reclamation Project .....	85
<b>Margwyn R. Zaccaruk</b>	

The Pine Coulee Project: Linking Reclamation Planning to Impact Assessment .....	89
<b>Ron Middleton</b>	

Design and Construction Management Aspects of Constructed Wetlands .....	93
<b>Bernard Amell and Kim Eastlick</b>	

Natural Revegetation of an Alberta Peatland after Horticultural Peat Extraction .....	97
<b>Wayne S. Tedder and Larry W. Turchenek</b>	

## **RUNOFF/EROSION**

Computer Modelling of Soil Erosion from Reclaimed Land .....	101
<b>Les Sawatsky, Wes Dick and Senarath Ekanayake</b>	

Runoff and Sediment Yield under Grazing in Foothills Fescue Grassland of Alberta .....	103
<b>M. Anne Naeth and David S. Chanasyk</b>	

Runoff Curve Numbers for Two Reclaimed Watersheds in Central Alberta .....	107
<b>Ted E. Harms and David S. Chanasyk</b>	

Vegetation Characteristics, Grazing Disturbance and Soil Erosion .....	111
<b>Suzanne I. Gill, M. Anne Naeth, David S. Chanasyk and V. S. Baron</b>	

## **PRAIRIE**

Renewing the Ecological Integrity of Disturbed Native Prairie .....	113
<b>Heather S. Gerling</b>	

Mixed Grass Prairie habitat Restoration Project: Landscape Restoration in the Moist Mixed Grass Prairie .....	117
<b>Dean Nerberg</b>	

Native Prairie Regeneration After Pipeline Construction: A Comparison Between Topsoil Stripping versus No Topsoil Stripping During Construction .....	119
<b>Gordon Dunn and Gina Fryer</b>	

Restoration of Native Sandhills Vegetation after Pipeline Construction in the Great Sand Hills Region of Saskatchewan .....	123
<b>David G. Walker, Laurier Kremer and Wayne Marshall</b>	

The Effects of Grazing, Mowing, Glyphosate and Burning on Smooth Brome in Fescue Grassland .....	125
<b>D. J. Brown and M. Anne Naeth</b>	

## **VEGETATION**

Avoiding Downy Brome When Buying Seed .....	127
<b>Kerby Lowen and Sarah Foster-Stubbs</b>	

Biodiversity and Reclamation: How Many Species do we Need in a Seed Mix? . . . . .	131
<b>Dana Bush and M. Anne Naeth</b>	
Germination and Survivability of Selected Native and Introduced Grasses and Legumes . . . . .	133
<b>William E. Pelech and M. Anne Naeth</b>	
Road Maps for Repairing Ecological Damage . . . . .	135
<b>Andy Hammermeister</b>	
Ecovar Developments in Western Canada . . . . .	137
<b>Les Wetter and Brent Wark</b>	
Plant Growth on Aggregated Oil Sands Processing Wastes . . . . .	139
<b>Xiaomei Li, Jim Storey, Paul Y. P. Yeung and Martin Y. P. Fung</b>	
The Clover Bar Landfill: A State of the Art Landfill Reclamation Process . . . . .	141
<b>Ann Smreciu, Nolan Turner and Don Barron</b>	
<b>BULK DENSITY</b>	
A Method of Characterizing the Bulk Density of Compressible Particulate Material . . . . .	145
<b>D. Guenther, Stacy Schaub and J. Leonard</b>	
Near-Surface Compaction Under Grazing of Annual and Perennial Forages . . . . .	149
<b>Dennis Twerdoff, David S. Chanasyk, M. Anne Naeth and V. S. Baron</b>	
Grazing Impacts on Bulk Density and Soil Strength in the Foothills Fescue Grasslands of Alberta, Canada . . . . .	151
<b>David S. Chanasyk and M. Anne Naeth</b>	
Computer Modelling of Soil Erosion from Reclaimed Land . . . . .	155
<b>Les Sawatsky, Wes Dick and Senarath Ekanayake</b>	

**LIST OF SPONSORS****Diamond Level**

Alberta Environmental Centre  
TransCanada Pipeline Limited

**Gold Level**

AGRA Earth & Environmental Limited  
CHEMEX Labs Alberta Inc.  
Government of the Northwest Territories  
TransAlta Utilities Corporation

**Silver Level**

Canadian Energy Pipeline Association  
Cardinal River Coals Ltd.  
Inco Limited  
Olds College  
Imperial Oil Resources

**Bronze Level**

Alberta Treasury Branches  
Cigar Lake Mining Corporation  
Enron Oil Canada Ltd.  
Estevan Coal Corporation  
Hudson Bay Mining & Smelting Co. Ltd.  
Inland Industrial Materials  
Manalta Coal Ltd.  
Nelson Dynes & Associates Inc.  
NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd.  
PanCanadian Petroleum Limited

**Iron Level**

EnviResource Consulting Ltd.  
Morgan Hydrocarbons Inc.  
Petroleum Industry Training Service  
Western Ecological Systems Management Consulting Inc.