

SPRAY LAKES RECLAMATION PROJECT

Margwyn R. Zacaruk, BSA

TransAlta Utilities Corporation, Fuel Supply, Calgary, Alberta, T2P 2M1

INTRODUCTION

The Spray Lakes Reservoir, located 16 km south of Canmore, is in the subalpine ecoregion of Kananaskis Country. Developed in 1951 after the construction of Three Sisters and Canyon Dams, the Reservoir provides water for three power generating stations. The lake reaches its peak elevation (full supply) in late July to early August. Drawdown is approximately 12.5 metres with its lowest level occurring during the winter months. The lake is widely used by fishing, boating and camping enthusiasts.

The Three Sisters Dam at the lake's north end was not originally designed for the probable maximum flood (pmf) risk. This risk combined with known seepage beneath the dam led to a decision in 1987 to permanently lower the full supply level (fsl) of the lake from 1701.7 metres above sea level (masl) to 1697.6 masl so the pmf could be maintained. The dam was also upgraded to control seepage. As a result of the lower lake level, 208 hectares (ha) of shoreline was exposed offering little to no wildlife benefit and limited recreation potential.

In 1991, TransAlta Utilities developed a reclamation plan for 128 ha of shoreline and an earthen spillway adjacent to Canyon Dam. Input from Alberta Forest Service, Trout Unlimited, Kananaskis Country as well as public and interest groups was incorporated into the plan. The objectives of the project were to sustain the integrity of the lake by enhancing the aesthetic value for recreational users, as well as to provide forage and habitat to wildlife by establishing a palatable, self-sustaining vegetative cover. Work would take place from 1992 to 1995.

METHODS AND PROCEDURES

The shoreline was subdivided into high, moderate or low priority areas based on public accessibility, visibility and usage. Further subdivision of the shoreline was done based on slope, substrate conditions and the presence or absence of tree stumps. In this manner specific reclamation prescriptions could be developed and applied to each site category. On the high and moderate priority areas, exposed tree stumps not removed in the original construction of the Reservoir were removed and either burned, buried, or salvaged for artificial reef construction by Trout Unlimited. Most of these shoreline areas were scarified by dragging the teeth on the bucket of a tracked excavator. Scarification improved the seedbed by loosening the fine soil material which underlay the cobbly material. This process would enhance seed germination by aerating the soil as well as improve moisture infiltration.

*Presented at the 21st Annual Meeting, Canadian Land Reclamation Association, Calgary, Alberta, September 18-20, 1996.

*Presente a la 21eme reunion annuelle de l'Association Canadienne de Rehabilitation des Sites Degradés, Calgary, Alberta, September 18-20, 1996.

On less cobbly areas, diamond harrows were used to scarify the soil before and after seeding. On some sites, seed was track-packed using a tracked excavator. This technique improved the seed/soil contact as well as created soil microsites for moisture to be retained.

All areas were broadcast-seeded by electric spreaders mounted on a six wheel drive all-terrain type of vehicle. On steep slopes and riparian areas, hand seeders were used. The seed mix, applied at a rate of 28 kg/ha, was composed of creeping red fescue, Canada bluegrass, wheatgrasses, hard fescue, alfalfa and white clover with an approximate percent by weight composition at 7, 5, 12, 11, 28, and 23%, respectively. The species were selected based on their adaptation to alkaline soils, drought tolerance, persistence in a stand and rooting characteristics, as well as palatability to wildlife. Alfalfa and white clover were used because of the plant's characteristic nitrogen-fixing ability in the soil which would, in turn, enhance the self-maintenance of the vegetative stand. Fall rye was also included in the mix at 14% by weight. Used as a cover crop, this annual grass helped to protect the soil from further erosion while providing a rapid cover for the slower-establishing perennial grasses and legumes. Following seeding, fertilizer was applied at a rate of 30-50-100-20 kg/ha of nitrogen, phosphorus as P_2O_5 , potassium as K_2O and sulfur, respectively.

Other reclamation work included the extension of a boat launch at the Driftwood Day Picnic area to allow easier access to the lake. Also in this area, due to intensive use by the public, silt from a plateau located below full supply was hauled onto the cobbly shore and respread providing a more favorable seedbed which could withstand heavy use.

At the Sparrowhawk Day Picnic area, a steep cliff which had eroded as a result of wave action at the lake's original fsl was recontoured to reduce the hazard to public users of the area.

At Spurling Creek, stream channels flowing into the reservoir which were between the former fsl and the new fsl had no defined channel and erosion had occurred. Therefore, a channel was contoured and its bank lined with riprap to prevent further erosion and silt from entering the lake.

At various locations, log jams resulting from the initial clearing of the reservoir had developed along the forested edge of the lake, posing a forest fire threat as they dried out. To eliminate the hazard, the piles were pulled away, burned and buried below the full supply level. The areas were then seeded and fertilized.

A high priority area was identified at a campground near the Three Sisters Dam which, unlike other shoreline areas, was relatively flat and therefore caused a larger shoreline area to be exposed at the new fsl. To break up the openness of the landscape, trees would be planted along with the grass/legume mix. In the spring of 1992, 7800 willow and poplar cuttings were collected from stock near the dam and raised in a greenhouse over the summer. In the fall, the trees were planted by volunteers from Scouts Canada.

In the spring of 1993, reclamation work continued on an additional 14 ha of shoreline and a 13 ha earthen spillway at Canyon Dam. These areas were scarified, seeded and fertilized as in the previous year. Straw mulch was applied to the entire spillway area. Mulch aided in protecting the seedbed from erosion as well as protecting the soil from moisture loss and temperature extremes. Fertilizer was reapplied to approximately 60 ha of the shoreline which was seeded in 1992.

In 1994 and 1995, reclamation activities included refertilizing areas seeded in 1992 and 1993 and monitoring of the vegetative establishment on the shoreline. Areal application of fertilizer was performed by helicopter in the spillway while shoreline areas were fertilized by hand or mechanically .

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In total, 131 ha of shoreline and a 13.35 ha spillway have been revegetated with grasses and legumes. Of these areas, approximately 90 ha was scarified, and/or had tree stumps removed prior to seeding. Approximately 40 ha was seeded and fertilized only. The remainder of the shoreline, approximately 77 ha, was not revegetated mainly due to limited access. These areas will be allowed to re-establish naturally from the forested edge.

In general, all areas outlined in the reclamation plan are successfully revegetated. Areas which were recontoured and riprapped are stable and non-eroding. Establishment success was most significant in areas which had been scarified then seeded and trackpacked. The areas where silt was respread or straw mulched showed good plant establishment within the first growing season.

Areas which were seeded and fertilized without scarification or harrowing operations were less established in the first growing season, however, these sites were better established in their second and third years of growth.

Overall, the changes made to the shoreline and public use areas have improved their usage by both wildlife and the public. In the future, TransAlta will continue to monitor the Spray Lakes Reservoir to ensure the reclaimed vegetation is self-sustaining and that the aesthetic and biological improvements will be enhanced for years to come.



CANADIAN LAND RECLAMATION ASSOCIATION

ASSOCIATION CANADIENNE DE RÉHABILITATION DES SITES DÉGRADÉS

*Conservation and Reclamation:
An Ecosystem Perspective*

Canadian Land Reclamation Association's
21st Annual Meeting

Calgary, Alberta
September 18-20, 1996

Conservation and Reclamation:

An Ecosystem Perspective

Canadian Land Reclamation Association's
21st Annual Meeting

Calgary, Alberta
September 18-20, 1996

This report may be cited as:

Powter, C.B., M.A. Naeth and D.A. Lloyd (compilers), 1996. Conservation and Reclamation: An Ecosystem Perspective. 21st Annual Meeting, Canadian Land Reclamation Association, Calgary, Alberta. 153 pp.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The compilers acknowledge the assistance of Jamie Legarie, Alberta Environmental Protection, Land Reclamation Division in preparing the manuscript. We also thank the authors for providing their papers on short notice.

The compilers edited some of the papers for format and length. We apologize for any errors that may have resulted.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF SPONSORS	vi
INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES	
Industrial Site Decommissioning in Alberta	1
Walter J. Ceroici	
Changing Knowledge Needs for Wellsite Reclamation	3
Jim Smith	
The Orphan Facilities and Pipeline Program: An Industry/Government Responsibility	7
David Lloyd and David Sandmeyer	
In-Situ Amelioration of Sodic Mine Spoil Using Sulfur, Gypsum and Crop Management ...	11
Jeff J. Sansom, M. Anne Naeth, and David S. Chanasyk	
Bioremediation of Contaminated Sites	13
Christopher Schneider	
Contaminant Metal Concentration in Alberta Soils Under Different Land Uses	17
Gerry Lutwick, Gordon D. Dinwoodie, David Gower and G. E. Nason	
Waste Disposal Guidelines from a Testing Lab's Perspective	19
John Ashworth	
Reclamation of a Former Heavy Oil Plant in Northeastern Alberta	23
Tim R. Bossenberry, J. Jeffrey Wilson, David Evans, Darrell Chollak and George Walker	
Nutrient and Metal Levels and Dynamics on a 30-year Revegetated Copper-Nickel Tailings Site at Copper Cliff, Ontario	27
Jeremy Niemi, John Giffen and Keith Winterhalder	
FORESTS	
Land Application of BCTMP Mill Waste Research in Alberta	31
Terry M. Macyk	
Reclamation to Native Forest Ecosystems in the Oil Sand Region	33
Steve Tuttle	
Land Capability Classification for Forest Ecosystems	37
Leonard A. Leskiw, Steve Tuttle and Earle Anderson	
Forest Land Restoration on Vancouver Island	39
David Polster	

Lichen Diversity in the Reclaimed Areas of Sudbury, Ontario	43
S. Wainio and Peter Beckett	

MOUNTAINS

Application of Population Biology, Conservation Biology and Succession Theory to the Reclamation of a High Elevation Coal Mine	47
Clint R. Smyth	

Plant Community Changes in Response to Anchor Chaining and Seeding on Pinyon/Juniper Sites in Central Utah	51
J. Gregory Sutor	

Tailings Disposal and Reclamation at the Coal Valley Mine	53
Dane McCoy	

In-Pit Disposal Program for Acid Generating Waste Rock	57
Jamie Quesnel and S. W. Stogran	

Reclamation, Trans Canada Highway Twinning, Banff National Park	63
Otto Hammer	

GENERAL ISSUES

Railway Reclamation: Issues and Opportunities	67
Chris B. Powter and David A. Lloyd	

Experiences in Reclaiming Railways Under Alberta's new Reclamation Guidelines	71
Mark Polet	

Pipeline Abandonment: A Discussion Paper	73
Karen Etherington	

Legal Perspectives on Land Reclamation	75
Gilbert Van Nes	

Liability for Reclamation: Enforcement at Common Law	77
Adrian Currie	

WATER AND WETLANDS

Coursier Reservoir: The Planning and Costs Associated with the Reconstruction and Revegetation of a Subalpine Lake	81
J. Leah Jackson	

Spray Lakes Reclamation Project	85
Margwyn R. Zaccaruk	

The Pine Coulee Project: Linking Reclamation Planning to Impact Assessment	89
Ron Middleton	

Design and Construction Management Aspects of Constructed Wetlands	93
Bernard Amell and Kim Eastlick	

Natural Revegetation of an Alberta Peatland after Horticultural Peat Extraction	97
Wayne S. Tedder and Larry W. Turchenek	

RUNOFF/EROSION

Computer Modelling of Soil Erosion from Reclaimed Land	101
Les Sawatsky, Wes Dick and Senarath Ekanayake	

Runoff and Sediment Yield under Grazing in Foothills Fescue Grassland of Alberta	103
M. Anne Naeth and David S. Chanasyk	

Runoff Curve Numbers for Two Reclaimed Watersheds in Central Alberta	107
Ted E. Harms and David S. Chanasyk	

Vegetation Characteristics, Grazing Disturbance and Soil Erosion	111
Suzanne I. Gill, M. Anne Naeth, David S. Chanasyk and V. S. Baron	

PRAIRIE

Renewing the Ecological Integrity of Disturbed Native Prairie	113
Heather S. Gerling	

Mixed Grass Prairie habitat Restoration Project: Landscape Restoration in the Moist Mixed Grass Prairie	117
Dean Nerberg	

Native Prairie Regeneration After Pipeline Construction: A Comparison Between Topsoil Stripping versus No Topsoil Stripping During Construction	119
Gordon Dunn and Gina Fryer	

Restoration of Native Sandhills Vegetation after Pipeline Construction in the Great Sand Hills Region of Saskatchewan	123
David G. Walker, Laurier Kremer and Wayne Marshall	

The Effects of Grazing, Mowing, Glyphosate and Burning on Smooth Brome in Fescue Grassland	125
D. J. Brown and M. Anne Naeth	

VEGETATION

Avoiding Downy Brome When Buying Seed	127
Kerby Lowen and Sarah Foster-Stubbs	

Biodiversity and Reclamation: How Many Species do we Need in a Seed Mix?	131
Dana Bush and M. Anne Naeth	
Germination and Survivability of Selected Native and Introduced Grasses and Legumes	133
William E. Pelech and M. Anne Naeth	
Road Maps for Repairing Ecological Damage	135
Andy Hammermeister	
Ecovar Developments in Western Canada	137
Les Wetter and Brent Wark	
Plant Growth on Aggregated Oil Sands Processing Wastes	139
Xiaomei Li, Jim Storey, Paul Y. P. Yeung and Martin Y. P. Fung	
The Clover Bar Landfill: A State of the Art Landfill Reclamation Process	141
Ann Smreciu, Nolan Turner and Don Barron	
BULK DENSITY	
A Method of Characterizing the Bulk Density of Compressible Particulate Material	145
D. Guenther, Stacy Schaub and J. Leonard	
Near-Surface Compaction Under Grazing of Annual and Perennial Forages	149
Dennis Twerdoff, David S. Chanasyk, M. Anne Naeth and V. S. Baron	
Grazing Impacts on Bulk Density and Soil Strength in the Foothills Fescue Grasslands of Alberta, Canada	151
David S. Chanasyk and M. Anne Naeth	
Computer Modelling of Soil Erosion from Reclaimed Land	155
Les Sawatsky, Wes Dick and Senarath Ekanayake	

LIST OF SPONSORS**Diamond Level**

Alberta Environmental Centre
TransCanada Pipeline Limited

Gold Level

AGRA Earth & Environmental Limited
CHEMEX Labs Alberta Inc.
Government of the Northwest Territories
TransAlta Utilities Corporation

Silver Level

Canadian Energy Pipeline Association
Cardinal River Coals Ltd.
Inco Limited
Olds College
Imperial Oil Resources

Bronze Level

Alberta Treasury Branches
Cigar Lake Mining Corporation
Enron Oil Canada Ltd.
Estevan Coal Corporation
Hudson Bay Mining & Smelting Co. Ltd.
Inland Industrial Materials
Manalta Coal Ltd.
Nelson Dynes & Associates Inc.
NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd.
PanCanadian Petroleum Limited

Iron Level

EnviResource Consulting Ltd.
Morgan Hydrocarbons Inc.
Petroleum Industry Training Service
Western Ecological Systems Management Consulting Inc.